IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

F	OR THE ELEVENTH CIRCU	IT
	No. 05-16965 Non-Argument Calendar	FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT JULY 25, 2006 THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK
D.	C. Docket No. 05-00169-CR-	WS
UNITED STATES OF AN	MERICA,	
		Plaintiff-Appellee,
	versus	
WILBER JACKSON,		
		Defendant-Appellant.
	I from the United States District of Alaba	
	(July 25, 2006)	_
Before BLACK, BARKET	ΓΤ and MARCUS, Circuit Judg	ges.

William Gregory Hughes, appointed counsel for Wilber Jackson in this direct criminal appeal, has filed a motion to withdraw, supported by a brief prepared pursuant to <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed.

PER CURIAM:

2d 493 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. We are unpersuaded by the argument Jackson raises in his response to counsel's motion, as it does not raise an issue of arguable merit.¹

Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, Jackson's motion for new counsel is denied as **MOOT**, and Jackson's conviction and sentence are **AFFIRMED**.

Jackson suggests that he did not understand the plea agreement he signed and that his trial counsel lied to him in order to get him to sign the agreement. Our independent review reveals that the district court fully complied with the three "core concerns" of Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b), see <u>United States v</u>, <u>Quinones</u>, 97 F.3d 472, 475 (11th Cir. 1996) (discussing core concerns of Rule 11), and we have found <u>no</u> evidence in the record of improper inducement or misrepresentation of the plea agreement.